arXiv:1212.5994v1 [math.FA] 25 Dec 2012

COMPACTNESS OF OPERATORS ON GENERALIZED FOCK SPACES

JOSHUA ISRALOWITZ

Abstract. For a very general class of weighted Fock spaces on $\mathbb{C}^n$, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a Toeplitz operator with a (not necessarily positive) measure symbol to be compact. Furthermore, we show that all compact operators are in the norm closure of the algebra generated by Toeplitz operators with $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$ symbols, and in the Hilbert space setting show that all compact operators are in the norm closure of the set of such Toeplitz operators.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be real valued and satisfy

$$0 < m < \Delta\phi(z) < M \tag{1}$$

for some $0 < m < M$ and all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$. For any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and any positive Borel measure ν on $\mathbb{C}^n$, let $L_\phi^p(\nu)$ be the space defined by

$$L_\phi^p(\nu) := \{f \text{ measurable on } \mathbb{C}^n \text{ s.t. } f(\cdot)e^{-\phi(\cdot)} \in L^p(\mathbb{C}^n, d\nu).\}$$

Furthermore, let L_ϕ^p be the space $L_\phi^p(dv)$ where dv is the usual Lebesgue volume measure and let F_ϕ^p be the weighted Fock space defined by

$$F_\phi^p := \{f \text{ entire on } \mathbb{C}^n \text{ s.t. } f \in L_\phi^p\}.$$

Note that F_ϕ^p coincides with the classical Fock space F_α^p on $\mathbb{C}^n$ when $\phi(z) = \frac{\alpha}{2}|z|^2$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and has been studied by numerous authors (see [18] and the references therein for example.) On the other hand, generalized Fock spaces F_ϕ^p appear naturally in the study of the $\overline{\partial}$ equation and sampling/interpolation theory and have also been studied by numerous authors (see [4, 6, 7, 9, 12, 13] for example, and in particular, see [13] for an excellent overview of the basic linear space properties of F_ϕ^p.)

Fix some real valued ϕ satisfying (1) and let k_z be the normalized reproducing kernel of F_ϕ^2 given by

$$k_z(u) := \frac{K(u,z)}{\sqrt{K(z,z)}}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B35.

For a bounded operator A on F^p_ϕ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, let $B(A)$ be the Berezin transform of A defined on $\mathbb{C}^n$ by

$$(B(A))(z) := \langle Ak_z, k_z \rangle_{F^2_\phi}.$$

Note that Hölder's inequality and the comment after Theorem 2.1 in Section 2 immediately implies that $B(A)$ is a bounded function on $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Now suppose that μ is a complex Borel measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$ in the sense that μ can be written as $\mu = (\mu_1 - \mu_2) + i(\mu_3 - \mu_4)$ where $\mu_j, j = 1, \ldots, 4$ are positive $\sigma-$finite Borel measures on $\mathbb{C}^n$. Given a "suitable" (which will be made precise momentarily) complex Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{C}^n$, define the Toeplitz operator T_μ with symbol μ by the equation

$$(T_\mu f)(z) := \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(w) K(z, w) e^{-2\phi(w)} \, d\mu(w)$$

where $K(z, w)$ is the reproducing kernel of F^2_ϕ. Furthermore, if μ is given by $\mu = f \, dv$ for a measurable function f on $\mathbb{C}^n$, then we write T_f instead of T_μ and call T_f the Toeplitz operator with symbol f.

Note that the Berezin transform can obviously be defined for other Banach spaces of analytic functions and information regarding the operator A can often be described in terms of function theoretic properties of $B(A)$. In particular, the following was shown in [2].

Theorem 1.1. *If $1 < p < \infty$ and A is bounded on F^p_α, then A is compact if and only if both $A \in \mathcal{T}^p_\alpha$ and $(B(A))(z) \to 0$ as $|z| \to \infty$ where $\mathcal{T}^p_\alpha$ is the norm closure of the algebra generated by $\{T_\mu : |\mu|$ is Fock-Carleson$\}$ and where $|\mu|$ is the variation measure of μ. Furthermore, $\mathcal{T}^p_\alpha$ coincides with the norm closure of the algebra generated by Toeplitz operators with smooth, bounded (function) symbols whose derivatives of arbitrary order are also bounded*

(see also [10, 14] for the same result in the Bergman space setting of the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Furthermore, see Section 2 for a brief discussion of Fock-Carleson measures.)

Unfortunately, many of the techniques in [2] (which are similar to the techniques in [10, 14]) do not work in the more general F^p_ϕ setting, and so extending Theorem 1.1 to the F^p_ϕ setting will require a completely different approach and could very well prove intractable. (It should be noted, however, that Theorem 1.1 was recently proved in [17] when $p = 2$ using considerably different and simpler techniques that might extend to generalized Fock spaces, see Section 5 for more details.)

Now given any "nice" class X of measurable functions on $\mathbb{C}^n$, let $\mathcal{T}^p_\phi(X)$ be the norm closure of the algebra generated by $\{T_f : f \in X\}$. Then as a first step towards extending Theorem 1.1 to generalized Fock spaces, we will prove the following two results.

Theorem 1.2. *Let μ be a complex Borel measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $|\mu|$ is Fock-Carleson. Furthermore, let $\overline{\mu}$ be the complex Borel measure defined by $\overline{\mu}(A) := \overline{\mu(A)}$ for a Borel set $A \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$. Then the following are equivalent:*

a) T_μ *is compact on* F^p_ϕ *for all* $1 < p < \infty$*,*
b) $T_{\overline{\mu}}$ *is compact on* F^p_ϕ *for all* $1 < p < \infty$*,*
c) $\lim_{|z|\to\infty} \|T_\mu k_z\|_{F^q_\phi} = 0$ *for some* $1 < q \leq \infty$*,*
d) $\lim_{|z|\to\infty} \|T_\mu k_z\|_{F^q_\phi} = 0$ *for all* $1 < q \leq \infty$*.*

Theorem 1.3. *If $1 < p < \infty$ then the space of compact operators on F^p_ϕ coincides with $\mathcal{T}^p_\phi(C^\infty_c(\mathbb{C}^n))$. Furthermore, the space of compact operators on F^2_ϕ coincides with the norm closure of the set $\{T_f : f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{C}^n)\}$.*

It should be noted that Theorem 1.3 is new even in the F^p_α setting when $p \neq 2$ (and was proved in the F^2_α setting in [3].) Furthermore, in light of Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.1 can be restated as an approximation result that says that if $A \in \mathcal{T}^p_\alpha$ and $B(A)$ vanishes at infinity, then A is in fact in the norm closure of the algebra generated by $\{T_f : f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{C}^n)\}$ when $1 < p < \infty$ and is in the norm closure of the set $\{T_f : f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{C}^n)\}$ when $p = 2$.

We will now briefly discuss the contents of the rest of the paper and also briefly outline the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. The next section will discuss some preliminary results that will be used throughout the rest of the paper (including a brief discussion of Fock-Carleson measures.) Sections 3 and 4 will contain the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, respectively, and finally Section 5 will discuss some interesting open questions related to the results of this paper.

Before we outline the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, note that we will write $A \lesssim B$ for two quantities A and B if there exists an unimportant constant C such that $A \leq CB$. Furthermore, $B \lesssim A$ is defined similarly and we will write $A \approx B$ if $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$.

Now to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, we will first show that the spaces F^p_ϕ behave in the same way that the spaces F^p_α do under complex interpolation (see [18].) In particular, we will prove the following result in Section 2, which is obviously of independent interest.

Theorem 1.4. *If $1 \leq p_0 \leq p_1 \leq \infty$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ where*

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$$

then

$$[F^{p_0}_\phi, F^{p_1}_\phi]_\theta = F^p_\phi$$

with equivalent norms.

Note that in the sequel will only need the following important corollary of Theorem 1.4 and the classical Stein-Weiss interpolation theorem (see [18], p. 59.)

Corollary 1.5. *Let $2 < p < \infty$. If A is an operator $A : F_\phi^2 + F_\phi^\infty \to L_\phi^2 + L_\phi^\infty$ such that A maps F_ϕ^2 to L_ϕ^2 boundedly and maps F_ϕ^∞ to L_ϕ^∞ boundedly, then $A : F_\phi^p \to L_\phi^p$ boundedly and*

$$\|A\|_{F_\phi^p \to L_\phi^p} \lesssim \|A\|_{F_\phi^2 \to L_\phi^2}^{\frac{2}{p}} \|A\|_{F_\phi^\infty \to L_\phi^\infty}^{1-\frac{2}{p}}.$$

To prove Theorem 1.2, first note that $b) \implies a)$ follows immediately by duality (see Theorem 2.1) and the easily verified fact that $(T_\mu)^* = T_{\overline{\mu}}$. Also, $a) \implies d)$ follows immediately from $b)$ and $g)$ in Theorem 2.1. Since $d) \implies c)$ is trivial, the proof will be completed if we can show that $c) \implies b)$. Furthermore, by $g)$ in Theorem 2.1, we can assume that $q = \infty$ in $c)$.

To that end, let

$$T_{\overline{\mu}}^R := T_{\overline{\mu}} - M_{\chi_{B(0,R)}} T_{\overline{\mu}}$$

where $M_{\chi_{B(0,R)}}$ is the multiplication operator with respect to the characteristic function of the Euclidean ball $B(0,R) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ with center 0 and radius R. Then, with the help of the Schur test, we will show that

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \|T_{\overline{\mu}}^R\|_{F_\phi^2 \to L_\phi^2} = 0$$

if T_μ satisfies condition $c)$ of Theorem 1.2 when $q = \infty$. A simple argument involving Corollary 1.5 and duality then proves Theorem 1.2 when $1 < p < \infty$.

Now to prove Theorem 1.3, first note that Theorem 2 in [13] tells us that T_f is compact on F_ϕ^p if $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$. We next show that finite rank operators are in the norm closure of the algebra generated by Toeplitz operators with point mass measure symbols. Let P be the orthogonal projection from L_ϕ^2 to F_ϕ^2 (which by standard reproducing kernel Hilbert space arguments is given by

$$(Pf)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(w) K(z,w) e^{-2\phi(w)} \, dv(w).)$$

Since all L^p spaces have the bounded approximation property (see [16], p. 69-70) and F_ϕ^p is just the image of L_ϕ^p under P by $e)$ in Theorem 2.1, Theorem 1.3 for $p \neq 2$ will be proved if we can show that Toeplitz operators with point mass measure symbols are contained in $\mathcal{T}_\phi^p(C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n))$.

To that end, we will first use Corollary 1.5 and duality to show that the Toeplitz operator with point mass measure symbol δ_z for $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ can be approximated in the F_ϕ^p operator norm by Toeplitz operators with symbol $\frac{c_n}{\epsilon^{2n}} \chi_{B(z,\epsilon)}$ (where c_n is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$) as $\epsilon \to 0^+$.

On the other hand, a direct application of Theorem 2.2 in Section 2 gives us that

$$\|T_f\|_{F_\phi^p \to F_\phi^p} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{C}^n, dv)}$$

when $1 < p < \infty$. Since $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is dense in $L^1(\mathbb{C}^n, dv)$, this clearly implies that any Toeplitz operator T_f with $f \in L^1(\mathbb{C}^n, dv)$ can be approximated in the F_ϕ^p operator norm by Toeplitz operators with $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$ symbols, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.3 when $p \neq 2$. Furthermore, we will use the operator theoretic techniques from [3] to prove that A is compact on F_ϕ^2 implies that A is in the norm closure of $\{T_f : f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)\}$. Note that we will only outline the proof of this in Section 4 since the argument we use is very similar to the proof of Theorem 9 in [3].

It should be noted that the use of Corollary 1.5 in conjunction with the Schur test for $p = 2$ and duality was needed in [2] to prove Theorem 1.1 and is therefore not a new phenomenon introduced by the more general weights used in this paper.

Finally in this introduction we will briefly discuss a concrete and interesting (from the point of view of holomorphic function spaces) example of a generalized Fock space. In particular, we will now show that the Fock-Sobolev spaces introduced recently in [5] are in fact generalized Fock spaces. Given any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $F_\alpha^{p,m}$ denote the Fock-Sobolev space of entire functions with the norm

$$\|f\|_{F_\alpha^{p,m}} := \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} \|\partial^\beta f\|_{F_\alpha^p}$$

where the sum is over all multiindicies β with $|\beta| \leq m$. It was then proved in [5] that $f \in F_\alpha^{p,m}$ if and only if $z \mapsto |z|^m f(z) \in L_\alpha^p$ where $L_\alpha^p := L_\phi^p$ for $\phi(z) = \frac{\alpha}{2}|z|^2$, and furthermore the canonical norms induced by these two conditions are equivalent (note that this was only proved for $\alpha = 1$ but the extension to general $\alpha > 0$ is trivial.)

By a standard closed graph theorem argument, we have that $f \in F_\alpha^{p,m}$ if and only if $z \mapsto (A + |z|^2)^{\frac{m}{2}} f(z)$ is in L_α^p for any $A > 0$, and furthermore the canonical norm induced by this condition (for fixed $A > 0$) is equivalent to the $F_\alpha^{p,m}$ norm. Thus, if

$$\phi(z) := \frac{\alpha}{2}|z|^2 - \frac{m}{2} \ln(A + |z|^2)$$

then we have $F_\alpha^{p,m} = F_\phi^p$ and

$$\Delta\phi(z) = 2\alpha n - 2m \left[\frac{n(A + |z|^2) - |z|^2}{(A + |z|^2)^2}\right],$$

which tells us that ϕ satisfies condition (1) if $A > m/\alpha$. Because of this, the reader should keep in mind that all of the results proved in this paper also apply to Fock-Sobolev spaces (which by themselves for Fock-Sobolev spaces are interesting in their own right.)

2. Preliminary results

In this section, we will state and prove some preliminary results (including Theorem 1.4) that will be used in the rest of the paper. First, we will mention some important properties of F_ϕ^p from [13] that should be familiar to the reader who has experience with the classical Fock spaces F_α^p.

Theorem 2.1. *The generalized Fock spaces F^p_ϕ satisfy the following properties:*

a) There exists $\epsilon, C > 0$ independent of $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $e^{-\phi(z)}|K(z,w)|e^{-\phi(w)} \leq Ce^{-\epsilon|z-w|}$.

b) If $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ then $k_z \to 0$ weakly in F^p_ϕ as $|z| \to \infty$.

c) If $1 \leq p < \infty$ then $(F^p_\phi)^* = F^q_\phi$ for $1/p + 1/q = 1$ under the usual pairing

$$\Psi_g(f) := \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(z)\overline{g(z)}e^{-2\phi(z)}\,dv(z).$$

d) The orthogonal projection $P : L^2_\phi \to F^2_\phi$ extends to a bounded operator from L^p_ϕ to F^p_ϕ when $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

e) P restricted to F^p_ϕ is the identity operator when $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

f) $e^{\phi(z)} \approx \sqrt{K(z,z)}$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

g) If $0 < p < \infty$, then $(|f|^p e^{-p\phi})(z) \lesssim \|f\|^p_{F^p_\phi}$ and $|\nabla(|f|^p e^{-p\phi})|(z) \lesssim \|f\|^p_{F^p_\phi}$ for any $f \in F^p_\phi$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Note that property $a)$ immediately implies that $\{k_z : z \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$ is bounded in F^p_ϕ when $0 < p \leq \infty$. Furthermore, note that property $a)$ for the classical Fock space F^2_α is in fact true for any $\epsilon > 0$. In particular, since

$$K^\alpha(z,w) = e^{\alpha(z\cdot\overline{w})}$$

where $K^\alpha(z,w)$ is the reproducing kernel of F^2_α, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|z|^2}|K^\alpha(z,w)|e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|w|^2} &= e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|z|^2}|e^{\alpha(z\cdot\overline{w})}|e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|w|^2} \\ &= e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|z-w|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

In general however, one can not expect to have such a fast off diagonal decay when dealing with generalized Fock spaces (though fortunately, as was noticed in [13], quadratic exponential off diagonal decay as above is usually not needed.)

Now if ν is a nonnegative Borel measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$, then we say ν is a Fock-Carleson measure for F^p_ϕ if the embedding operator $\iota : F^p_\phi \to L^p_\phi(\nu)$ is bounded. We will often use the following useful characterization of Fock-Carleson measures on $\mathbb{C}^n$ (see [13] for a proof.)

Theorem 2.2. *If $1 \leq p < \infty$ and ν is a nonnegative Borel measure, then the following are equivalent:*

a) ν is a Fock Carleson measure for F^p_ϕ,

b) $\sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}^n} \nu(B(z,1)) < \infty$,
c) T_ν *is bounded on* F^p_ϕ.

Furthermore, the canonical norms defined by any of these three conditions are equivalent.

Since ν being Fock-Carleson for F^p_ϕ is independent of p when $1 \leq p < \infty$, we will say ν is a Fock-Carleson measure if ν satisfies any of the equivalent conditions in Theorem 2.2. Furthermore, if μ is a complex Borel measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$, then we will denote by $\|\mu\|_*$ any of the canonical norms applied to $|\mu|$ defined by the conditions in Theorem 2.2 . We will also let $\|f\|_*$ denote $\||f|\,dv\|_*$ when f is a measurable function on $\mathbb{C}^n$.

We now state and prove two simple lemmas that will be used in the proofs of our main results.

Lemma 2.3. *Let μ be a complex Borel measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $|\mu|$ is Fock-Carleson. Then for any $u, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we have*

$$e^{-\phi(w)}|(T_\mu K(\cdot,w))(u)|e^{-\phi(u)} \lesssim \|\mu\|_* \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-\epsilon|u-\theta|}e^{-\epsilon|w-\theta|}\,dv(\theta)$$

where ϵ is from a) in Theorem 2.1. Furthermore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-\phi(w)}|(T_\mu K(\cdot,w))(u)|e^{-\phi(u)}\,dv(u) \lesssim \|\mu\|_*$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-\phi(u)}|(T_\mu K(\cdot,w))(u)|e^{-\phi(w)}\,dv(w) \lesssim \|\mu\|_*$$

Proof. Obviously the last two inequalities follow from Fubini's theorem and the first inequality.

To prove the first inequality, note that by definition

$$|(T_\mu K(\cdot,w))(u)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |K(\theta,u)K(\theta,w)|e^{-2\phi(\theta)}\,d|\mu|(\theta). \tag{2}$$

Also note that the entire function $\theta \mapsto K(\theta,u)K(\theta,w)$ is in the generalized Fock space $F^1_{2\phi}$ for each $u, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ since the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives us that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |K(\theta,u)K(\theta,w)|\,e^{-2\phi(\theta)}dv(\theta) \leq \|K(\cdot,u)\|_{F^2_\phi}\|K(\cdot,w)\|_{F^2_\phi} < \infty.$$

Thus, applying Theorem 2.2 to (2), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\phi(w)}|(T_\mu K(\cdot,w))(u)|e^{-\phi(u)} &\lesssim \|\mu\|_* e^{-\phi(w)-\phi(u)} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |K(\theta,u)K(\theta,w)|\,e^{-2\phi(\theta)}dv(\theta) \\ &= \|\mu\|_* \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left(e^{-\phi(\theta)}|K(\theta,u)|e^{-\phi(u)}\right)\left(e^{-\phi(\theta)}|K(\theta,w)|e^{-\phi(w)}\right)dv(\theta) \\ &\lesssim \|\mu\|_* \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-\epsilon|\theta-u|}e^{-\epsilon|\theta-w|}\,dv(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

where ϵ is from *a)* in Theorem 2.1 □

Finally in this section we will prove Theorem 1.4. First we will need the following simple result.

Proposition 2.4. *Given any real valued function* ϕ*, if* $1 \leq p_0 \leq p_1 \leq \infty$ *and* $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ *where*

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$$

then

$$[L^{p_0}_\phi, L^{p_1}_\phi]_\theta = L^p_\phi$$

with equal norms.

Proof. For any measure space (X, μ) and any weights $w_0,\ w_1$ on X, define w by $w^{\frac{1}{p}} := w_0^{\frac{1-\theta}{p_0}} w_1^{\frac{\theta}{p_1}}$. Then the classical Stein-Weiss interpolation theorem gives us that

$$[L^{p_0}(w_0), L^{p_1}(w_1)]_\theta = L^p(w)$$

with equal norms where $L^p(w) := L^p(X, w(\cdot)\, d\mu)$ and the other L^p spaces are defined similarly. The proof then follows immediately by setting $w_0 = e^{-p_0\phi}$ and $w_1 = e^{-p_1\phi}$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By the definition of $[L^{p_0}_\phi, L^{p_1}_\phi]_\theta$ and Proposition 2.4, we have that $[F^{p_0}_\phi, F^{p_1}_\phi]_\theta \subseteq F^p_\phi$.

On the other hand, if $f \in F^p_\phi \subseteq L^p_\phi$, then again by Proposition 2.4 there exists a positive constant C and an analytic function $w \mapsto F(\cdot, w)$ from $\{w \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \text{Re } w \leq 1\}$ to $L^{p_0}_\phi + L^{p_1}_\phi$ where

a) $F(z, \theta) = f(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$,
b) $\|F(\cdot, w)\|_{L^{p_0}_\phi} \leq C$ for all Re $(w) = 0$,
c) $\|F(\cdot, w)\|_{L^{p_1}_\phi} \leq C$ for all Re $(w) = 1$.

Now let $G(z, w) = (P(F(\cdot, w)))(z)$. Then by *a)* and *d)* in Theorem 2.1 and Morera's theorem, we have that $w \mapsto G(\cdot, w)$ is an analytic function from $\{w \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \text{Re } w \leq 1\}$ to $F^{p_0}_\phi + F^{p_1}_\phi$ and G satisfies

a) $G(z, \theta) = (Pf)(z) = f(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$,
b) $\|G(\cdot, w)\|_{L^{p_0}_\phi} \leq C'$ for all Re $(w) = 0$,
c) $\|G(\cdot, w)\|_{L^{p_1}_\phi} \leq C'$ for all Re $(w) = 1$.

for some positive constant C', which implies that $f \in [F^{p_0}_\phi, F^{p_1}_\phi]_\theta$, or $[F^{p_0}_\phi, F^{p_1}_\phi]_\theta = F^p_\phi$.

To show the equivalence of norms, let $f \in F^p_\phi$. Then by definition and Proposition 2.4 we have that

$$\|f\|_{F^p_\phi} = \|f\|_{[L^{p_0}_\phi, L^{p_1}_\phi]_\theta} \leq \|f\|_{[F^{p_0}_\phi, F^{p_1}_\phi]_\theta}.$$

An application of the open mapping theorem immediately completes the proof.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We will now prove Theorem 1.2. As was discussed in the introduction, it is enough to show that *c*) $\Longrightarrow$ *b*) in Theorem 1.2 when $q = \infty$. In other words, we need to show that $T_{\overline{\mu}}$ is compact on F^p_ϕ for any $1 < p < \infty$ if

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty} \|T_\mu k_z\|_{F^\infty_\phi} = 0. \tag{3}$$

Now let

$$T^R_{\overline{\mu}} := T_{\overline{\mu}} - M_{B(0,R)} T_{\overline{\mu}} = M_{(B(0,R))^c} T_{\overline{\mu}}$$

where $B(0,R) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ is the Euclidean ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with center 0 and radius R. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{(B(0,R))^c}(w)(T_{\overline{\mu}} f)(w) &= \chi_{(B(0,R))^c}(w)\langle T_{\overline{\mu}} f, K(\cdot,w)\rangle_{F^2_\phi} \\ &= \chi_{(B(0,R))^c}(w)\langle f, T_\mu K(\cdot,w)\rangle_{F^2_\phi} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(u)\chi_{(B(0,R))^c}(w)\overline{(T_\mu K(\cdot,w))(u)} e^{-2\phi(u)}\, dv(u) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(u)\Phi_R(w,u) e^{-2\phi(u)}\, dv(u).\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi_R(w,u) := \chi_{(B(0,R))^c}(w)\overline{(T_\mu K(\cdot,w))(u)}.$$

We now use the Schur test to show that

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \|T^R_{\overline{\mu}}\|_{F^2_\phi \to L^2_\phi} = 0.$$

In particular, we will show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\Phi_R(w,u)| e^{\phi(w)} e^{-2\phi(w)}\, dv(w) \lesssim e^{\phi(u)} \tag{4}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\Phi_R(w,u)| e^{\phi(u)} e^{-2\phi(u)}\, dv(u) \lesssim e^{\phi(w)} \left(N^{2n} \sup_{|z|>R} \|T_\mu k_z\|_{F^\infty_\phi} + e^{-\frac{\epsilon N}{4}} \right) \tag{5}$$

for any arbitrary fixed $N > 0$ where $\epsilon > 0$ comes from *a*) in Theorem 2.1. Since $N > 0$ is independent of R, the Schur test then implies that for N fixed

$$\limsup_{R\to\infty} \|T^R_\mu\|_{F^2_\phi \to L^2_\phi} \lesssim e^{-\frac{\epsilon N}{4}}$$

and letting $N \to \infty$ completes the proof.

To that end, Lemma 2.3 gives us that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\Phi_R(w,u)| e^{-\phi(w)}\, dv(w)\\ &\leq e^{\phi(u)} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-\phi(u)} \left|(T_\mu K(\cdot, w))(u)\right| e^{-\phi(w)}\ dv(w) \lesssim e^{\phi(u)}\end{aligned}$$

which shows (4).

Finally we show (5). Pick any $N > 0$. Then for $|w| > R$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\Phi_R(w,u)| e^{-\phi(u)}\, dv(u) &= e^{\phi(w)} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-\phi(w)} \left|(T_\mu K(\cdot, w))(u)\right| e^{-\phi(u)}\ dv(u)\\ &=\ e^{\phi(w)} \int_{B(w,N)} e^{-\phi(w)} \left|(T_\mu K(\cdot, w))(u)\right| e^{-\phi(u)}\ dv(u)\\ &+\ e^{\phi(w)} \int_{(B(w,N))^c} e^{-\phi(w)} \left|(T_\mu K(\cdot, w))(u)\right| e^{-\phi(u)}\ dv(u)\\ &:= e^{\phi(w)}(I_1 + I_2).\end{aligned}$$

Since $e^{\phi(w)} \approx \sqrt{K(w,w)}$, we immediately get that

$$I_1 \lesssim N^{2n} \sup_{|z|>R} \|T_\mu k_z\|_{F^\infty_\phi}.$$

On the other hand, Lemma 2.3 gives us that

$$I_2 \lesssim \int_{(B(w,N))^c} \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-\epsilon|u-\theta|} e^{-\epsilon|\theta - w|} dv(\theta) \right) dv(u)$$

Now since $|u-w| > N, |u-\theta| \leq N/2$ implies that

$$N < |u-w| \leq |u-\theta| + |\theta - w| \leq N/2 + |\theta - w|$$

or $|\theta - w| > N/2$. Thus,

$$I_2 \lesssim e^{-\frac{\epsilon N}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}|u-\theta|} e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}|\theta - w|} dv(\theta) \right) dv(u) \lesssim e^{-\frac{\epsilon N}{4}}$$

which shows that

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \|T_{\overline{\mu}}^R\|_{F^2_\phi \to L^2_\phi} = 0.$$

Now by a simple argument that is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-\phi(z)} \left|(T_{\overline{\mu}} f)(z)\right| &\leq \sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}^n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left(e^{-\phi(z)} |K(z,w)| e^{-\phi(w)} \right) |f(w)| e^{-\phi(w)}\, d|\mu|(w)\\ &\lesssim \|\mu\|_* \|f\|_{F^\infty_\phi} \sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}^n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-\epsilon|z-w|}\, dv(w)\\ &\lesssim \|\mu\|_* \|f\|_{F^\infty_\phi} \qquad (6)\end{aligned}$$

which tells us that

$$\|T_{\overline{\mu}}^R\|_{F^\infty_\phi \to L^\infty_\phi} \lesssim \|\mu\|_*.$$

Thus, by Corollary 1.5, we get that

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \|T_{\overline{\mu}}^R\|_{F_\phi^p \to L_\phi^p} = 0$$

for any $2 \leq p < \infty$, which immediately implies that $T_{\overline{\mu}}$ is compact on F_ϕ^p when $2 \leq p < \infty$ since $M_{B(0,R)} : F_\phi^p \to L_\phi^p$ is compact for any $1 < p < \infty$.

Finally, to the complete the proof when $1 < p \leq 2$, note that $g)$ in Theorem 2.1 implies that

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty} \|T_{\overline{\mu}} k_z\|_{F_\phi^\infty} \leq \lim_{|z|\to\infty} \|T_{\overline{\mu}} k_z\|_{F_\phi^2} = 0$$

since $T_{\overline{\mu}}$ is compact on F_ϕ^2. Thus, replacing $\overline{\mu}$ with μ and repeating the previous argument word for word, we get that T_μ is compact on F_ϕ^p for $2 \leq p < \infty$, which by duality tells us that $T_{\overline{\mu}}$ is compact on F_ϕ^p for $1 < p \leq 2$ and completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.3 by completing the steps discussed in the introduction. In particular, we will show that finite rank operators are in the Toeplitz algebra $\mathcal{T}_\phi^p(C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n))$. First we will need the following simple result.

Lemma 4.1. *If* $1 < p < \infty$ *and* $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ *is a Borel set with nonzero Lebesgue volume measure, then* $\operatorname{span}\{K(\cdot, w) : w \in S\}$ *is dense in* F_ϕ^p*.*

Proof. Let q be the conjugate of exponent of p. If $g \in F_\phi^q = (F_\phi^p)^*$ (see $c)$ in Theorem 2.1) annihilates $\operatorname{span}\{K(\cdot, w) : w \in S\}$, then $e)$ in Theorem 2.1 implies that

$$g(w) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} g(u)\overline{K(u,w)} e^{-2\phi(u)}\, dv(u) = 0$$

for any $w \in S$, which implies that $g \equiv 0$ since S has nonzero Lebesgue volume measure. The proof then immediately follows by the Hahn-Banach theorem. □

Lemma 4.2. *Finite rank operators on* F_ϕ^p *are in the norm closure of the algebra generated by Toeplitz operators with point mass measure symbols when* $1 \leq p < \infty$*.*

Proof. Since

$$0 < K(w,w) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |K(w,z)|^2 e^{-2\phi(z)}\, dv(z)$$

the set

$$\mathcal{Z}_w := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : K(w,z) \neq 0\}$$

trivially has nonzero Lebesgue volume measure for each $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Thus, Lemma 4.1 tells us that $\operatorname{span}\{K(\cdot,z) : z \in \mathcal{Z}_w\}$ is dense in F_ϕ^p for each $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$, which in turn implies that $\operatorname{span}\{K(\cdot,z) \otimes K(\cdot,w) : w \in \mathbb{C}^n, z \in \mathcal{Z}_w\}$

is dense (with respect to the F_ϕ^p operator norm) in the space of finite rank operators.

The proof is then completed by observing that

$$K(\cdot, z) \otimes K(\cdot, w) = \frac{e^{2\phi(z)+2\phi(w)}}{\overline{K(w,z)}} T_{\delta_z} T_{\delta_w}$$

where δ_z and δ_w are the point mass measures at $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $z \in \mathcal{Z}_w$. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *Given $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$, let*

$$F_w^\epsilon(z) := \frac{c_n}{\epsilon^{2n}} \chi_{B(w,\epsilon)}(z)$$

where c_n is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \|T_{F_w^\epsilon} - T_{\delta_w}\|_{F_\phi^p \to F_\phi^p} = 0$$

for each $1 < p < \infty$.

Proof. By duality, Corollary 1.5, and (6), it is enough to prove the lemma for $p = 2$. To that end, note that $T_{F_w^\epsilon} - T_{\delta_w}$ is obviously bounded and self adjoint on F_ϕ^2, which means that

$$\|T_{F_w^\epsilon} - T_{\delta_w}\|_{F_\phi^2 \to F_\phi^2} = \sup_{\|h\|_{F_\phi^2}=1} \left| \langle (T_{F_w^\epsilon} - T_{\delta_w})h, h\rangle_{F_\phi^2} \right|.$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \langle (T_{F_w^\epsilon} - T_{\delta_w})h, h\rangle_{F_\phi^2} \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |h(z)|^2 F_w^\epsilon(z) e^{-2\phi(z)}\, dv(z) - \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |h(z)|^2 e^{-2\phi(z)}\, d\delta_w(z) \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{c_n}{\epsilon^{2n}} \int_{B(w,\epsilon)} |h(z)|^2 e^{-2\phi(z)} dv(z) - |h(w)|^2 e^{-2\phi(w)} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{c_n}{\epsilon^{2n}} \int_{B(w,\epsilon)} \left| |h(z)|^2 e^{-2\phi(z)} - |h(w)|^2 e^{-2\phi(w)} \right| dv(z).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $\|h\|_{F_\phi^2} = 1$, then $g)$ in Theorem 2.1 tells us that $|h|^2 e^{-2\phi}$ is Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant independent of h, which completes the proof. $\square$

As was stated in the introduction, T_f is compact on F_ϕ^p if $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and T_f with $f \in L^1(\mathbb{C}^n, dv)$ can be approximated in the F_ϕ^p operator norm by Toeplitz operators with $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$ symbols. Combining these facts with Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, we finally have the following, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.3 when $p \neq 2$.

Theorem 4.4. *Finite rank operators are in $\mathcal{T}_\phi^p(C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n))$ when $1 < p < \infty$. In particular, the space of compact operators on F_ϕ^p coincides with $\mathcal{T}_\phi^p(C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n))$.*

We now complete the proof of Theorem 1.3 when $p = 2$. As was stated in the introduction, the proof is very similar to the proof of Theorem 9 in [3] and so we will only outline the proof. To that end, given any bounded operator X on F^2_ϕ, let $K_X(w, z)$ be the function defined by

$$K_X(w, z) := (X^* K(\cdot, z))(w)$$

so that $K_X(w, z)$ is analytic in w and conjugate analytic in z.

Note that *a*) in Theorem 2.1 immediately tells us that $PM_S : L^2_\phi \to L^2_\phi$ is Hilbert-Schmidt if $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ is compact, which easily implies that T_f is trace class on F^2_ϕ when $f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Thus, if $f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and X is any bounded operator on F^2_ϕ, then $T_f X$ is trace class on F^2_ϕ and repeating word for word the proof of Theorem 8 in [3] gives us that

$$\operatorname{tr}(T_g X) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} g(w)\overline{K_X(w, w)} e^{-2\phi(w)}\, dv(w). \tag{7}$$

Now suppose that $\{T_f : f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{C}^n)\}$ is *not* dense in the space of compact operators on F^2_ϕ. Then by the Hahn-Banach theorem and duality, there exists a non-zero trace class operator X on F^2_ϕ where $\operatorname{tr}(T_g X) = 0$ for any $g \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{C}^n)$. However, this implies that

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} g(w)\overline{K_X(w, w)} e^{-2\phi(w)}\, dv(w)$$

for any $g \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{C}^n)$, which by elementary arguments implies that $K_X(w, w) \equiv 0$.

The proof will be completed if we can show that

$$K_X(w, w) \equiv 0 \Longrightarrow X = 0.$$

To that end, since $K_X(w, z)$ is analytic in w and conjugate analytic in z and $K_X(w, w) \equiv 0$, a standard result in several complex variables implies that $K_X(w, z) \equiv 0$. However, since $\operatorname{span}\{K(\cdot, z) : z \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$ is dense in F^2_ϕ, the condition $K_X(w, z) \equiv 0$ implies that $X = 0$. (It should be noted that the argument in this paragraph is by now standard and that the exact same argument tells us that the Berezin transform is injective on F^p_ϕ when $1 < p < \infty$.)

Finally, let us remark that a very similar argument also shows that $\{T_f : f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{C}^n)\}$ is trace norm dense in the trace class of F^2_ϕ (which was proved in [3] for the classical Fock space F^2_α.)

5. Open Problems

In this last section we will discuss some interesting open problems related to Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. First note that if μ is a complex Borel measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$ where $|\mu|$ is Fock-Carleson and

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty} \|T_\mu k_z\|_{F^q_\phi} = 0$$

for any $1 < q \leq \infty$ then one can easily check using Hölder's inequality that

$$\lim_{|z| \to \infty} |(B(T_\mu))(z)| = \lim_{|z| \to \infty} |\langle T_\mu k_z, k_z \rangle_{F^2_\phi}| \lesssim \lim_{|z| \to \infty} \|T_\mu k_z\|_{F^q_\phi} = 0. \tag{8}$$

In particular, this tells us that condition *c*) in Theorem 1.2 is in fact stronger than condition (8).

Also note that condition (8) is (as one would expect) particularly attractive since one can easily check that

$$(B(T_\mu))(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |k_z(w)|^2 \, e^{-2\phi(w)} d\mu(w)$$

when $|\mu|$ is Fock-Carleson, which means that (8) is a condition that *solely* depends on the symbol μ and not the operator T_μ itself. It would therefore be interesting to know if one could replace condition *c*) in Theorem 1.2 with condition (8). Note that even proving this will most likely require new techniques since in particular monomials do not necessarily form an orthogonal basis for F^2_ϕ, which means that the main technique pioneered in [1] is unavailable in the generalized Fock space setting.

It should be noted that the proof of Theorem 1.2 actually shows that if μ is Fock-Carleson, then T_μ is compact on F^p_ϕ for $1 < p < \infty$ if and only if

$$\lim_{|z| \to \infty} \sup_{w \in B(z,N)} |(T_\mu k_z)(w)| e^{-\phi(w)} = 0 \tag{9}$$

for any $N > 0$. However, it is quite easy to show directly that condition *c*) (when $q = \infty$) in Theorem 1.2 is in fact equivalent to (9) being true for any $N > 0$. In particular, if $N > 0$, then an argument that is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.2 gives us that

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_\mu k_z\|_{F^\infty_\phi} &\leq \sup_{w \in B(z,N)} |(T_\mu k_z)(w)| e^{-\phi(w)} + \sup_{w \in (B(z,N))^c} |(T_\mu k_z)(w)| e^{-\phi(w)} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{w \in B(z,N)} |(T_\mu k_z)(w)| e^{-\phi(w)} + \|\mu\|_* e^{-\frac{\epsilon N}{4}}.\end{aligned}$$

Letting $|z| \to \infty$ first and then letting $N \to \infty$ completes the proof. Because of this equivalence, it is unfortunately rather unlikely that condition (9) being true for any $N > 0$ will be useful in replacing condition *c*) in Theorem 1.2 with condition (8).

As was stated in the introduction, extending Theorem 1.1 to the F^p_ϕ setting is quite possibly intractable. However, when $p = 2$, this result was proved in [17] for the classical Fock space F^2_α using techniques that are considerably simpler than the ones used in [2].

Furthermore, a careful examination of [17] shows that all of the arguments in [17] extend to generalized Fock spaces (with minor modification) with the exception of the argument on p. 103 in [17], which requires the existence of a bounded family of operators $\{U_z\}_{z \in \mathbb{C}^n}$ on F^2_α where

$$(U_z k_\eta)(w) = \Theta(\eta, z) k_{\eta + z}(w)$$

with $|\Theta(\cdot,\cdot)|$ bounded above and below on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$. In the F^2_α setting, note that these operators are classical and in particular are unitary "weighted translations" defined by

$$(U_z h)(w) = h(w-z)k_z(w).$$

Unfortunately, the above formula most likely does not (in general) even map F^2_ϕ into F^2_ϕ. Thus, in order to prove Theorem 1.1 for F^2_ϕ, one would need to somehow circumvent the use of the U_z's on p. 103 of [17] (which while certainly nontrivial, is most likely much more tractable then extending the ideas in [2, 10, 14] to the F^2_ϕ setting.)

Note that if $f \in L^q(\mathbb{C}^n, dv)$ for $1 \leq q < \infty$, then Hölder's inequality immediately implies that

$$\|f\|_* \leq \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{C}^n,dv)}$$

which means that T_f can be approximated in the F^p_ϕ operator norm for $1 \leq p < \infty$ by a Toeplitz operator with $C^\infty_c(\mathbb{C}^n)$ symbol. Obviously this result is *not* true for $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$ since otherwise if $f \equiv 1$ then $T_f = \mathrm{Id}_{F^p_\phi \to F^p_\phi}$ would be compact on F^p_ϕ. However, one can ask if T_f for $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$ can be approximated in the F^p_ϕ norm by Toeplitz operators with smooth, bounded symbols whose derivatives of arbitrary order are also bounded.

Note that this is in fact true for the classical Fock space F^p_α. In particular, if μ is a complex Borel measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$ where $|\mu|$ is Fock-Carleson, then it was proved in [2] that for $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \|T_{\widetilde{\mu}^{(t)}} - T_\mu\|_{F^p_\alpha \to F^p_\alpha} = 0 \tag{10}$$

where $\widetilde{\mu}^{(t)}$ is the heat transform of μ given by

$$\widetilde{\mu}^{(t)}(z) := \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-\frac{|z-w|^2}{4t}} \, d\mu(w).$$

Unfortunately the arguments used in [2] to prove this (which are similar to the arguments in [11]) are not available in the generalized Fock space setting, and therefore it would be interesting to know if the above mentioned result is true for F^p_ϕ. Note that this, if proved, would obviously imply that the Toeplitz algebra generated by Toeplitz operators with Fock-Carleson measure symbols would coincide with the Toeplitz algebra generated by Toeplitz operators with smooth, bounded (function) symbols whose derivatives of all orders are bounded, which as was stated in Theorem 1.1 is true for F^p_α.

It would also be interesting to know if $\{T_f : f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{C}^n)\}$ is dense in the space of compact operators on F^p_ϕ for $1 < p < \infty$ (with $p \neq 2$.) Note that it is not known if this is true even for the classical Fock space F^p_α. It should be remarked, however, that the arguments in Section 4 actually show that $\mathrm{span}\{T_f T_g : f, g \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{C}^n)\}$ is dense in the space of compact operators on F^p_ϕ when $1 < p < \infty$, which is "not too far" from $\{T_f : f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{C}^n)\}$.

It should be noted that Theorem 1.3 when $p = 2$ was proved in [8] for the standard (unweighted) Bergman space $L^2_a(\mathbb{D}, dA)$ on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ using a concrete but technical approximation argument that relies on computations involving the standard orthogonal basis of monomials for $L^2_a(\mathbb{D}, dA)$. While this fact negates the possibility of extending the arguments in [8] to the F^p_ϕ setting when $p \neq 2$, it would be interesting to know if one can extend the arguments in [8] to the F^2_α setting and use complex interpolation and duality to prove that the space of compact operators on F^p_α coincides with the norm closure of $\{T_f : f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{C}^n)\}$ when $p \neq 2$.

Finally, as somewhat of a novelty, let us remark that the argument used to prove Theorem 1' in [8] extends to the generalized Fock space setting and shows that $\{T_f : f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{C}^n)\}$ is SOT dense in the space of bounded operators on F^2_ϕ. In particular, suppose that $f_1, \dots, f_k$ and $g_1, \dots, g_m$ for $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ are two sequences of linearly independent functions in F^2_ϕ. If we now define $R : C^\infty_c(\mathbb{C}^n) \to \mathbb{C}^{k\times m}$ by

$$(R_\phi)_{ij} := \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \phi(z) f_i(z)\overline{g_j(z)}\, e^{-2\phi(z)}\, dv(z)$$

then it is not difficult to show that R is surjective, which by elementary Hilbert space arguments proves the claim.

References

[1] S. Axler and D. Zheng, 'Compact operators via the Berezin transform,' *Indiana Univ. Math. J.,* 47 (1998), no. 2, 387 - 400.

[2] W. Bauer and J. Isralowitz, 'Compactness characterization of operators in the Toeplitz algebra of the Fock space F^p_α,' *J. Funct. Anal.* 263 (2012), no. 5, 1323 - 1355.

[3] C. Berger and L. Coburn, 'Heat flow and Berezin-Toeplitz estimates,' *Amer. J. Math.,* 116 (1994), no. 3, 563 - 590.

[4] B. Berndtsson and J. Ortega-Cerdà, 'On interpolation and sampling in Hilbert spaces of analytic functions,' *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 464 (1995), 109 - 128.

[5] H. Cho and K. Zhu, 'Fock-Sobolev spaces and their Carleson measures,' *J. Funct. Anal.* 263 (2012), no. 8, 2483 - 2506.

[6] M. Christ, 'On the $\overline{\partial}$ equation in weighted L^2 norms in $\mathbb{C}^1$,' J. Geom. Anal. 1 (1991), no. 3, 193 - 230.

[7] H. Delin, 'Pointwise estimates for the weighted Bergman projection kernel in $\mathbb{C}^n$, using a weighted L^2 estimate for the $\overline{\partial}$ equation,' *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 48 (1998), no. 4, 967 - 997.

[8] M. Engliš, 'Some density theorems for Toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces,' *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 40(115) (1990), no. 3, 491 - 502.

[9] N. Lindholm, 'Sampling in weighted L^p spaces of entire functions in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and estimates of the Bergman kernel,' *J. Funct. Anal.* 182 (2001), no. 2, 390 - 426.

[10] M. Mitkovski, D. Suarez, and B. Wick, 'The essential norm of operators on $\mathrm{A}^p_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n)$,' Preprint

[11] K. Nam, D. Zheng, and C. Zhong, '$m-$ Berezin transform and compact operators,' *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 22 (2006), no. 3, 867 - 892.

[12] J. Ortega-Cerdà and K. Seip, 'Beurling-Type density theorems for weighted L^p spaces of entire functions,' *J. Anal. Math.* 75 (1998), 247 - 266.

[13] A. Schuster and D. Varolin, 'Toeplitz operators and Carleson measures on generalized Bargmann-Fock spaces,' *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 72 (2012), no. 3, 363 - 392.

[14] D. Suárez, 'The essential norm of operators in the Toeplitz algebra on $A^p(\mathbb{B}_n)$,' *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 56 (2007), no. 5, 2185 - 2232.

[15] J. Tung, 'Fock Spaces,' Doctoral dissertation.

[16] P. Wojtaszczyk, 'Banach spaces for analysts' *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics,* 25. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991. xiv+382 pp.

[17] J. Xia and D. Zheng, 'Localization and Berezin transform on the Fock space,' *J. Funct. Anal.* 264 (2013), no. 1, 97 - 117.

[18] K. Zhu, 'Analysis on Fock spaces,' *Graduate Texts in Mathematics,* 263. Springer, New York, 2012. x+344 pp.

(Joshua Isralowitz) DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS AND SATISTICS, SUNY ALBANY, 1400 WASHINGTON AVE., ALBANY, NY 12222, USA

E-mail address, Joshua Isralowitz: `jisralowitz@albany.edu`